APPLICATION OF A NEW METHOD TO THE KINETIC STUDY OF THE THERMAL DECARRONYLATION OF SODIUM OXALATE

R. LOZANO *, J. ROMAN and F. DE JESUS

Departamento de Química Inorgánica y Bioinorgánica, Facultad de Farmacia, *Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid (Spain)*

A. JEREZ, M. GAITAN and E. RAMOS

Departamento de Quimica Inorgcinica, Facultad de C. Quimicas, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid (Spain)

(Received 31 May 1988)

ABSTRACT

The application of a new method for studying the kinetic parameters of the thermal decarbonylation of sodium oxalate in dinitrogen using differential scanning calorimetry is described.

The activation energy and the pre-exponential Arrhenius factor for the loss of carbon monoxide are calculated from the DSC curves and the reaction order and mechanism are also determined.

A comparison is made between the results obtained using the proposed method and those reported in the literature.

INTRODUCTION

The determination of kinetic parameters using non-isothermal methods offers advantages over conventional isothermal studies [l]; only a single sample and fewer data are required and the kinetics can be calculated over an entire temperature range in a continuous manner. In addition, if the sample undergoes considerable reaction when the temperature is raised to the optimum, the results obtained using isothermal methods are often questionable.

A disadvantage of the non-isothermal method, when compared with the isothermal technique, is that the reaction mechanism cannot usually be determined, and hence the meaning of the kinetic parameters is uncertain.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

262

However, the methods usually employed for kinetic analysis [2-141 lead to ambiguous results, especially if the reaction studied is diffusion controlled.

This paper reports a new non-isothermal method for studying the kinetic parameters of the thermal decarbonylation of sodium oxalate using differential scanning calorimetry. The mechanism of this reaction is determined using this method and the activation energy and the pre-exponential Arrhenius factor are calculated. A comparison is made between the results obtained in this study and those obtained previously by other workers [15].

EXPERIMENTAL

Products

The sodium oxalate used was a Merck commercial product of high grade purity.

Thermogravimetric analysis

Thermogravimetric analysis was performed on a Mettler HE-20 thermobalance. The constants were as follows: heating rate, 5° C min⁻¹; TG range, 5 mV; chart speed, 20 cm h⁻¹; sample mass, 5 mg; reference, Al_2O_3 ; thermocouple, Pt/Pt-Rh 80%.

The analysis was carried out in a dynamic nitrogen atmosphere between 25 and 600°C. A platinum pan was used.

Differential scanning calorimetry

Differential scanning calorimetry was performed using a Mettler TA 3000 system with a Mettler DSC-20 differential scanning calorimeter.

The scanning rate used was 5° C min⁻¹ and samples of about 5 mg were employed, so as to render the temperature non-uniformity within the sample insignificant. An aluminium pan was used under a dynamic nitrogen atmosphere. The instrument calibration was checked periodically with standard samples of indium (purity, 99.99%). Several runs were carried out in all cases.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The DSC curve of sodium oxalate shows an exothermic peak between 520 and 57O'C; the mass loss which accompanies this exothermic transition corresponds to the loss of carbon monoxide on the TG curve; the residue was identified as $Na₂CO₃$ by IR spectroscopy and X-ray analysis.

TABLE 1

Kinetic equations

The rate of the thermal decomposition reaction of a solid can be expressed by the Arrhenius equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}t} = A e^{-E_a/RT} f(\alpha) \tag{1}
$$

In eqn. (1), α is the fraction of material which has reacted at time *t*, E_a is the activation energy, $f(\alpha)$ is a function which depends on the actual reaction mechanism and \vec{A} is the pre-exponential Arrhenius factor. The mathematical expressions of the functions $f(\alpha)$ corresponding to some of the mechanisms of thermal decomposition found in the literature [l] are collected in Table 1.

For the case where the temperature of the sample is increased at a constant rate, we can write

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}T} = \alpha' = \frac{A}{\beta} e^{-E_a/RT} f(\alpha)
$$
 (2)

where β is the heating rate d/dt .

On integration, this gives

$$
\int_0^{\alpha} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{f(\alpha)} = \frac{A}{\beta} \int_{T_0}^T e^{-E_a/RT} f(\alpha) \, \mathrm{d}T \tag{3}
$$

By differentiating the logarithmic form of eqn. (1) with respect to $d \ln(1)$ $-\alpha$), we obtain

$$
\frac{d \ln(d\alpha/dT)}{d \ln(1-\alpha)} = \frac{d \ln \alpha'}{d \ln(1-\alpha)} = -\frac{E_a}{R} \frac{d(1/T)}{d \ln(1-\alpha)} + \frac{d \ln f(\alpha)}{d \ln(1-\alpha)}
$$

$$
\overline{\text{or}}
$$

$$
\frac{\Delta \ln \alpha'}{\Delta \ln(1-\alpha)} = -\frac{E_a}{R} \frac{\Delta(1/T)}{\Delta \ln(1-\alpha)} + \frac{\Delta \ln f(\alpha)}{\Delta \ln(1-\alpha)}
$$

Temperature (°C)

and

$$
\frac{\Delta \ln \alpha' - \Delta \ln f(\alpha)}{\Delta \ln(1 - \alpha)} = -\frac{E_a}{R} \frac{\Delta(1/T)}{\Delta \ln(1 - \alpha)}
$$
(4)

Thus the plots of $[\Delta \ln \alpha' - \Delta \ln f(\alpha)]/[\Delta \ln(1-\alpha)]$ vs. $\Delta(1/T)/[\Delta \ln(1-\alpha)]$ $(-\alpha)$] should be a straight line with a slope of $-E_a/R$, irrespective of the

TABLE 2

 ΔH , α , *T* and α' obtained from the DSC curve

ΔH	α	\boldsymbol{T}	α'	
(mJ)		$(^{\circ}C)$	(K^{-1})	
408.62	0.10776	534.0	0.00940	
494.10	0.13031	536.0	0.01098	
595.91	0.15716	538.0	0.01279	
712.02	0.18778	540.0	0.01485	
845.53	0.22299	542.0	0.01715	
997.02	0.26294	544.0	0.01972	
1169.80	0.30852	546.0	0.02252	
1366.90	0.36048	548.0	0.02552	
1588.80	0.41900	550.0	0.02864	
1830.60	0.48277	552.0	0.03179	
2095.10	0.55255	554.0	0.03476	
2370.80	0.62524	556.0	0.03737	
2667.50	0.70350	558.0	0.03902	
2958.20	0.78015	560.0	0.03940	
3247.90	0.85656	562.0	0.03730	

 $f(\alpha)$ value employed. However, we can select the $f(\alpha)$ value that best fits the actual mechanism of the reaction studied using the intercept value; this should be zero from eqn. (4).

From the DSC curve (Fig. 1) of sodium oxalate, we constructed Table 2.

Using the mechanisms listed in Table 1, we plotted $[\Delta \ln \alpha' \Delta$ ln f(α)]/[Δ ln(1 - α)] vs. $\Delta(1/T)/[\Delta \ln(1 - \alpha)]$ for the compound

TABLE 3

Results obtained using the seven mechanisms for the plot of $[\Delta \ln \alpha' - \Delta \ln f(\alpha)]/[\Delta \ln(1-\alpha')]$ α)] vs. $\Delta(1/T)/[\Delta \ln(1-\alpha)]$

```
Mechanism Dl 
Correlation coefficient r = -0.99970Slope m = -119114.1Intercept value i = 0.86391Activation energy E<sub>s</sub> = 990.3621 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}Mechanism D2 
Correlation coefficient r = -0.99981Slope m = -120020.6Intercept value i = 0.45511Activation energy E_a = 997.8991 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}Mechanism 03 
Correlation coefficient r = - 0.99980 
Slope m = -119916.8Intercept value i = -0.05526Activation energy E_a = 997.0364 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}Mechanism 04 
Correlation coefficient r = -0.99980Slope m = -119916.8Intercept value i = 0.27807 
Activation energy E<sub>s</sub> = 997.036 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}Mechanism FI 
Correlation coefficient r = -1.00000Slope m = -55195.13Intercept value i = -0.50000Activation energy E_a = 458.9144 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}Mechanism R2 
Correlation coefficient r = -1.00000Slope m = -55195.14Intercept value i = -0.00003Activation energy E_a = 458.9144 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}Mechanism R3 
Correlation coefficient r = -1.00000Slope m = -55195.18Intercept value i = -0.16667Activation energy E<sub>a</sub> = 458.9148 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}
```


Fig. 2. Plot of $[\Delta \ln \alpha' - \Delta \ln f(\alpha)]/[\Delta \ln(1 - \alpha)]$ **vs.** $\Delta(1/T)/[\Delta \ln(1 - \alpha)]$ for mechanism **R2.**

studied. Table 3 shows the results for the correlation coefficient r , the slope *m*, the intercept value *i* and the activation energy E_a obtained for the seven mechanisms. Figure 2 shows the representation obtained for the mechanism R2.

In all cases the correlation coefficients r are close to unity, consistent with the results of Criado et al. [16]. Nevertheless, the straight line passes through the origin only when the analysis is performed using mechanism R2.

Fig. 3. Arrhenius plot of $\ln \alpha' - \ln f(\alpha)$ vs. $1/T$ for mechanism R2.

TABLE 4

Mechanism	E_a ^a $(kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$	\mathbf{b} $E_{\rm a}$ $(kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$	Difference (%)	
D1	990.36	717.52	27.54	
D ₂	997.89	854.74	14.34	
D ₃	997.03	1015.99	-1.86	
D4	997.03	910.13	8.71	
F1	458.91	617.10	-34.47	
R ₂	458.91	458.28	0.13	
R ₃	458.91	511.23	-11.40	

Activation energy values obtained using the seven mechanisms

^a Calculated from the representation of eqn. (4). ^b Calculated from the representation of eqn. **(5).**

Therefore, we conclude that the mechanism of the thermal decomposition of sodium oxalate with the loss of carbon monoxide is R2 (phase-boundary reaction (cylindrical symmetry)).

In order to test the validity of the above conclusion we substituted the seven forms of $f(\alpha)$ into the Arrhenius equation (eqn. (2)) in logarithmic form

$$
\ln \alpha' = \ln(A/\beta) - E_{\rm a}/RT + \ln f(\alpha)
$$

or

 $\ln \alpha' - \ln f(\alpha) = \ln(A/\beta) - E_{\alpha}/RT$ (5)

The plot of $\ln \alpha' - \ln f(\alpha)$ vs. $1/T$ should be a straight line with a slope of $-E_a/R$ and an intercept of $\ln(A/\beta)$. If the proposed mechanism is correct, the activation energy value should be the same as that obtained previously.

Figure 3 shows this plot for the mechanism R2, and Table 4 shows the values of the activation energy for both analyses. It can be seen that only mechanism R2 shows very good agreement.

Therefore, the activation energy for the process of decarbonylation of sodium oxalate has a value of $458.91 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (mechanism R2), and consequently the reaction order is 0.5. The pre-exponential Arrhenius factor obtained from the intercept value (plotting $\ln \alpha' - \ln f(\alpha)$ vs. $1/T$) is 1.882×10^{26} s⁻¹. These results are in agreement with those obtained previously be other workers using TG analysis [15].

REFERENCES

- **1 W.W. Wendlandt, Thermal Methods of Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1974, pp. 45-58, 187-193.**
- **2 A.E. Nerwkirk, Ann. Chem., 32 (1960) 1558.**
- **3 E.S. Freeman and B. Carroll, J. Phys. Chem., 62 (1958) 394.**
- **4 A. Jerez, J. Therm. Anal., 26 (1983) 315.**
- **5 H.H. Horowitz and G. Metzger, Anal. Chem., 35 (1963) 1464.**
- **6 A.W. Coats and J.P. Redfern, Nature (London), 201 (1964) 68.**
- **7 CD. Doyle, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 5 (1961) 285.**
- **8 T.R. Ingraham and P. Marrier, Can. J. Chem. Eng., (1964) 161.**
- **9 B.N.N. Achar, G.W. Brindley and J.H. Sharp, Proc. Int. Clay Conf., Jerusalem, 1 (1966) 67.**
- 10 J.M. Thomas and T.A. Clarke, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 457.
- **11 R. Lozano, J. Martinez, A. Martinez and A. Doadrio, Polyhedron, 2 (1983) 997.**
- **12 R. Lozano, J. Roman and A. Moragues, Thermochim. Acta, 108 (1986) 1.**
- 13 H.J. Borchardt and F. Daniels, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79 (1957) 41.
- **14 J.M. Haschke and W.W. Wendlandt, Anal. Chim. Acta, 32 (1965) 386.**
- **15 J. Leyko, M. Maclejewske and R. Szuniewick, J. Therm. Anal., 17 (1979) 263.**
- **16 J.M. Criado, D. Dollimore and G.R. Heal, Thermochim. Acta, 54 (1982) 159.**